

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,865	02/24/2004	Isao Hayashi	1232-5307	3674	
27123 7	7590 03/09/2006		EXAMINER		
	FINNEGAN, L.L.P.		BOATENG, ALEXIS ASIEDUA		
	NANCIAL CENTER NY 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2838		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/786,865		HAYASHI, ISAO				
Office Action Sumn	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Alexis Boate	_	2838				
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion - Any reply received by the Office later than three - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 f this communication. naximum statutory period w pod for reply will, by statute, the months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, vill apply and will ex- cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim spire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. \$ 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on 24 Fe	ebruary 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
3) Since this application is in co	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allower	d.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejecte	ed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are object	ed to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to	8) Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examine	۲.						
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is obj				The state of the s				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date			☐ Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dal ☐ Notice of Informal Pa ☐ Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/786,865

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 10, drawn to AC adapter and methods therefor classified in class 320 subclass 107.
- II. Claims 11 16, drawn to a program classified in class 700 subclass 89.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to related inventions. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions appear to be mutually exclusive since one claims a program and the other a hardwar device, and the mode of operation is distinct because one uses machine code and the other uses hardware.

- 2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,865

Art Unit: 2838

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: WITHIN GROUP, there are three SPECIES – Species 1: claims 1 and 6; Species 2: claims 2, 4 and 7; Species 3: claims 3, 5 and 8; Species 4: claims 11 and 14; Species 5: claims 12 and 15; Species 6: claims 13 and 16. The species are independent or distinct because they each have mutually exclusive elements such as voltage, temperature and time measurement.

Page 3

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. A telephone call was made to Joseph Calvaruso on February 28, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexis Boateng whose telephone number is (571) 272-5979. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on (571) 272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,865

Art Unit: 2838

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AB

KARL EASTHOM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER